



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,689	04/30/2001	Michel Menu	XA-9467	9635
181	7590 03/15/2005		EXAMINER	
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC			JACOBS, LASHONDA T	
1751 PINNA SUITE 500	CLE DRIVE	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	VA 22102-3833		2157	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 1: 4:	A 1: 4(-)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
000-100	09/830,689	MENU, MICHEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LaShonda T Jacobs	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied in the provided for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec</u>	cember 16, 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	ł,				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	= ' '					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	atone Apphoanon (F-10-102)				

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This is a Final Office Rejection in response to Applicant's Amendment filed on December 16, 2004. Claims 1-8 have been amended and are presented for further examination. Newly added claims 9-10 by Applicant are also presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 3-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watanabe et al (hereinafter, "Watanabe" U.S. Pat. No. 6,014,687).

As per claim 3, Watanabe discloses a process for effecting communication between at least two communication terminals across a computer network, in which: the two terminals being linked to a telephone network, comprising:

- the terminals <u>are</u> linked to a telephone network (see Figure 3 and col. 4, lines 8-22);
- <u>a</u> first of the terminals connects to the computer network and obtains a <u>first</u>
 computer address which <u>is transmitted</u> to <u>a</u> second terminal across the telephone
 network (col. 4, lines 46-67 and col. 5, lines 1-11), and

Art Unit: 2157

- the second terminal connects to the computer network and obtains a <u>second</u> computer address which <u>is transmitted</u> to the first terminal across the computer network (col. 5, lines 1-11 and lines 21-31),
- wherein after having obtained the first computer address, the first terminal disconnects from the computer network, so as to transmit the first computer address to the second terminal across the telephone network, then reconnects to the computer network and obtains the same first computer address as during the previous connection (col. 5, lines 52-65).

As per claim 4, Watanabe discloses:

 after having disconnected from the computer network, the first terminal calls the second terminal across the telephone network so as to transmit the first computer address to the second terminal (col. 5, lines 52-65).

As per claim 5, Watanabe discloses:

• in which the first terminal connects up to the computer network by way of an access provider to which it addresses a request is addressed so as to obtain the same first computer address for two successive connections (col. 5, lines 52-65).

As per claim 6, Watanabe discloses:

• in which the first terminal connects up to the computer network which allocates it the by way of an access provider same <u>first</u> computer address <u>to the first</u> terminal for a plurality of successive connections in so far as they occur within a predetermined time span (col. 5, lines 52-65).

As per claim 9, Watanabe discloses a method of establishing a communication link comprising:

Page 4

Art Unit: 2157

 contacting a computer network that assigns a first dynamic internet protocol address to a first communication device for a predetermined duration (col. 4, lines 46-67 and col. 5, lines 1-11);

- establishing communication with a second communication device and forwarding the first dynamic internet protocol address from the first communication device to the second communication device via a telephone network (col. 5, lines 41-46);
- terminating the telephone network connection between the first communication device and the second communication device (col. 8, lines 48-53);
- establishing communication with the computer network which reassigns the first dynamic internet protocol address to the first communication device (col. 5, lines 52-65); and
- utilizing the first dynamic internet protocol address for the second communication device to establish communication with the first communication device via the computer network (col. 4, lines 61-67 and col. 5, lines 1-11).

As per claim 10, Watanabe further discloses:

• terminating communication between the first communication device and the computer network after the first dynamic internet protocol address is initially assigned (col. 8, lines 48-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/830,689 Page 5

Art Unit: 2157

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe in view of Hjalmtysson et al (hereinafter, "Hjalmtysson", U.S. Pat. No. 6,493,325).

As per claim 1, Watanabe process for effecting communication between at least two communication terminals across a computer network, in which:

- the terminals are linked to a telephone network (see Figure 3 and col. 4, lines 8-22);
- <u>a first terminal connects to the computer network and obtains a first computer address which is transmitted to a second terminal across the telephone network (col. 4, lines 46-67 and col. 5, lines 1-11), and</u>
- the second terminal connects to the computer network and obtains a <u>second</u> computer address which <u>is transmitted</u> to the first terminal across the computer network (col. 5, lines 1-11 and lines 21-31).

However, Watanabe does not explicitly disclose:

- wherein before connecting to the computer network, the first terminal calls the second terminal across the telephone network and invites to call the first terminal back later, and
- as agreed, the second terminal calls the first terminal and <u>first terminal transmit</u>
 the first computer address to the second terminal, across the telephone network.

Art Unit: 2157

Hjalmtysson discloses a method and apparatus for providing telephony over a computer network comprising:

- wherein before connecting to the computer network, the first terminal calls the second terminal across the telephone network and invites to call the first terminal back later (col. 4, lines 43-65, Hjalmtysson discloses sending an invitation to a terminal to initiate a call with another terminal, once the terminal retrieved the encoder/decoder it is able to imitate the call back to the terminal).
- as agreed, the second terminal calls the first terminal and <u>first terminal transmit</u> the first computer address to the second terminal, across the telephone network (col. 4, lines 43-65, Hjalmtysson discloses sending an invitation to a terminal to initiate a call with another terminal, once the terminal retrieved the encoder/decoder it is able to imitate the call back to the terminal).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Hjalmtysson's teachings of providing telephony over a computer by sending an invitation to a terminal to initiate a call with another terminal to provide an indirect reference which can be used to conduct a phone call appropriately. Thus, Watanabe provides the motivation to combine by utilizing an internet telephone system that enables communications between terminals over a computer network.

As per claim 2, Watanabe discloses:

• after having obtained the first computer address, the first terminal remains connected to the computer network and is advised of the call of the second terminal across the telephone network by a call signal and then switches

temporarily over to the second terminal so as to transmit the first computer address to the second terminal across the telephone network (col. 7, lines 64-67 and col. 8, lines 1-16).

As per claim 7, Watanabe discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Watanabe does not explicitly disclose:

• in which the first terminal call the second terminal across the telephone network so as to ask it if the second terminal wishes to communicate with the first terminal across the computer network.

Hjalmtysson discloses a method and apparatus for providing telephony over a computer network comprising:

• in which the first terminal call the second terminal across the telephone network so as to ask it if the second terminal wishes to communicate with the first terminal across the computer network (col. 4, lines 43-65, Hjalmtysson discloses sending an invitation to a terminal to initiate a call with another terminal, once the terminal retrieved the encoder/decoder it is able to imitate the call back to the terminal).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Hjalmtysson's teachings of providing telephony over a computer by sending an invitation to a terminal to initiate a call with another terminal to provide an indirect reference which can be used to conduct a phone call appropriately. Thus, Watanabe provides the motivation to combine by utilizing an

Art Unit: 2157

Internet telephone system that enables communications between terminals over a computer network.

As per claim 8, Watanabe discloses:

• in which, after effecting communication between the two terminals across the computer network the each activate a signal so as to advise users that communication has been effected between the terminals (col. 5, lines 52-65).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShonda T Jacobs whose telephone number is 703-305-7494. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> LaShonda T Jacobs Examiner Art Unit 2157

lti March 10, 2005

STANOLOGY CENTER 2100